



Simultaneous ELF Magnetic Field Monitoring of Earthquakes from a Nano-Satellite (QuakeSat) and a Ground Network

> IWSE L3-3 March 17, 2005

Tom Bleier Clark Dunson (650) 473-9870tbleier@quakefinder.com(650) 814-4258cdunson@quakefinder.com

QUAKEFINDER Space and Ground Monitoring



Ground Magnetometers



QUAKEFINDER

High School System



Commercial QF 1000 and 1003 Systems

0.3 to 4 Hz BW 3pT noise floor 20 Hz sample rate, raw data stored @ site 300 sec RMS data displayed daily on web site



Satellite-Based Monitoring (QuakeSat)

- QuakeSat on orbit (June 30, 2003 to Dec 2004)
 - 840 km circular, sun synch orbit (dawn-dusk)
 - Single axis search coil magnetometer, small E-field dipole
 - 4 channels (one at a time)
 - 1-10 Hz B

QUAKEFINDER

- 10-150 Hz B (primary channel)
- 130-150 Hz E and B
- 10-1000 Hz B
- Sensitivity noise floor
 - 5pT at 1000 Hz
 - 15 pT at 100 Hz
 - 30 pT at 10 Hz
- 2 ground stations
 - Stanford
 - Fairbanks Alaska
 - 9600 baud, half duplex



1.2m



San Simeon ELF Observations

Ground Monitors

(Berkeley and QuakeFinder)

QUAKEFINDER With Distances to San Simeon M6.5







San Simeon ELF Observations

QuakeSat









Correlation between QuakeSat and Berkeley signals (San Simeon + 8 days)

QUAKEFINDER



14



Parkfield M6.0 Quake

9/28/04

10:15:24 PDT 09:15:24 PST 17:15:24 UTC

QUAKEFINDER Parkfield M6.0 and ELF sites



Berkeley Data (0-10 Hz) Day of quake Sept 28, 20004

QUAKEFINDER

Quakefinder Data: 2004.272.07.59.18.5214.BK.PKD..BT3.D.SAC ASC2004-09-28 07 59 18.52.jpg



17

Berkeley Data Day of quake (Expanded to 0-1 Hz)

QUAKEFINDER

UC Berkeley Data: 2004.272.07.59.18.5214.BK.PKD..BT3.D.SAC ASC2004-09-28 07 59 18.52.jpg 0.9 0.8 (0.0048828 Hz resolution) 0.7 0.6 0.5 Frequency (Hz) (6.0 Strong 0.2 ELF Burst 0.1 0 12 21 3 6 9 15 18 Time: Hours PST

QUAKEFINDER QF Parkfield station (day of quake)







ULF Types

Diurnal Effect

- Increased activity due to daytime and solar excitation of ionosphere.
- Shumann Resonance
 - Resonating cavity between ground and ionosphere.
 - Cpatures broadband EM impulses like lightning.
- ULF Waves
 - EMIC Waves (PC 1-2 pulsations)
 - Electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) instability near the equator.
 - Pearls—structured PC1 waves.
 - IPDP Pulsations- Intervals of diminishing periods.
 - Spectral Resonance Structures (SRS)
 - Likely caused by lonospheric Alfven resonators.
 - Varies with time of day, season, and solar activity.
 - Harmonics up to second Schumann resonance.
- Broadband Noise
 - Often related to CME shocks hitting the Earth.
 - Galactic X-ray bursts

QUAKEFINDER Night ELF Bursts: Mar to Oct '04



Loma Prieta Vs Parkfield ULF Signals



QUAKEFINDER Conductivity of Ground Attenuates Signal



QuakeFinder Summary – San Simeon quake

- Ground monitor data
 - May have been too far away to detect the San Simeon signal (60 km Vs 15 km range est.)
 - Small number of "unusual signals, 3.2 Hz"
- QuakeSat data
 - ELF wideband noise (10-140 Hz) bursts seem to appear near several quakes
 - Not confirmed yet-DEMETER data
 - Some correlation with ground data (+8 day)
 - Need more samples

QuakeFinder Summary – Parkfield quake

• Ground monitor data:

- Parkfield did not duplicate Loma Prieta
 - No 20 X increase at 0.01 Hz (Brine layer near quake)
- Strange ELF bursts prior to quake at 0.3 to 0.9
 Hz (Ionospheric origin, but low solar activity?)
- Strange ELF tones (pearls?) at 3.2 Hz
 - Seen at PKD and QF Parkfield vertical channels only
- Satellite data:
 - QuakeSat and DEMETER: no valid data





Backup Charts

QUAKEFINDER Direction of Arrival (Preliminary Results)



QuakeFinder San Simeon Earthquake 12/22/03





Propagation Modeling

Dr. Jacob Bortnik UCLA Post Doc

Full Wave Model using Maxwell's Equations

Attenuation by Frequency (ELF-VLF) for Below Ground to Air



Signal Refraction



Ionospheric ELF/VLF Attenuation



QuakeFinder Status : First 17 mo. (9 mo. mission)

- 2000 + Worldwide Magnetometer Collections
- Eliminated most internal noise sources
 - Small boom with pico-tesla magnetometer
 - Reprogrammed on-board computer
 - watchdog, beacon, software processes
 - Digital filtering on ground
 - telemetry modem
- Detected natural signals
 - Whistlers (Lightning), Auroral Chorus,
 - 20+ signatures of unknown origin while targeting active seismic zones

QuakeSat Collection Geometry





Did we see the same type of signal around other quake events?

AKEFINDER Major Earthquakes of Magnitude 6+

Dominican Rep Earthquake	
Signal Date	Days from EQ
9/22/2003	EQ
10/22/2003	+31

Japan Earthquake (9/25/03)	
Signal Date	Days from EQ
9/25/2003	EQ
10/6/2003	+9

Japan Earthquake (10/31/03)	
Signal Date	Days from EQ
10/22/2003	-9
10/23/2003	-8
10/23/2003	-8
10/31/2003	EQ
11/18/2003	+18
12/24/2003	+54

New Zealand Earthquake		
Days from EQ		
EQ		
+52		
+58		

Xin, China Earthquake		
Signal Date	Days from EQ	
12/1/2003	EQ	
12/11/2003	+10	
12/13/2003	+12	
1/2/2004	+32	
1/6/2004	+36	
1,0,2001	- 30	

San Simeon Earthquake		
Signal Date	Days from EQ	
10/26/2003	-56	
10/27/2003	-55	
12/22/2003	EQ	
12/30/2003	+8	
1/12/2004	+21	

l'		
Iran Earthquake		
Signal Date	Days from EQ	
9/10/2003	-67	
12/16/2003	-10	
12/26/2003	EQ	
1/19/2004	+24	
12/10/2003 12/26/2003 1/19/2004	+24	

Pattern Recognition (Dr. H. Kuzma-Berkeley)

Sat. Collection Spectrogram

QUAKEFINDER

Raw Correlation: -Matched filter (0.2 sec by 10-80 Hz)

Refined Correlation: -Adjustable threshold (1 Sec, energy spread)

Manual Selection: -Accept/Reject



World wide hits / false alarms

QUAKEFINDER



 \triangle = Earthquake of magnitude 6+ within collection timeline (Sept '03 to Feb '04)

- ELF Burst: at least one earthquake within 1000 km and +/-60 days of (hit)
- ELF Burst: no earthquakes exist within 1000 km and +/-60 days (false alarm)

---- Satellite ground traces: no earthquakes within 1000 km and +/- 60 days

---- Satellite ground traces: at least one quake within 1000 km and +/- 60 days



How can one distinguish signal originating from the <u>ground</u> Vs <u>noise</u> from the satellite or a <u>signal</u> <u>from space</u>?

Lightning Example SE USA



QUAKEFINDER

Impulsive signals propagate through ionosphere in a dispersive manner

Higher frequencies arrive sooner

Lower frequencies Arrive later

Is this an important feature for earthquake signatures?

QUAKEFINDER Dec 30, 2003 10-150 Hz



2 important features

Broadband energyDispersive (right tilt)



Signal Strength Variations

Why do some quakes have higher ULF/ELF signals?

Loma Prieta Vs San Simeon Vs Parkfield

Factors Influencing Propagation and reception S/N:

- Magnetic dipole moment (source signal strength)
- Depth of hypocenter

- Conductivity of rock structure
- Frequency of signal (skin effect)
- Distance of epicenter to sensor (coil)
- Direction of sensor from epicenter (along fault?)
- Sensitivity of sensor (instrument noise floor)
- Ambient area (man-made noise)
- Solar activity (noise)





Satellite Coverage

Over Parkfield



QuakeSat and DEMETER

